



Counselor, Social Worker & Marriage and Family Therapist Board

50 West Broad Street, Suite 1075
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5919
614-466-0912 & Fax 614-728-7790
<http://cswmft.ohio.gov> & cswmft.info@cswb.state.oh.us

State of Ohio Counselor Professional Committee Meeting March 15, 2007

Members Present were: Mr. Jan White, Mrs. Randi Cohen, Dr. Victoria Kress, Dr. Susan Huss and Mr. Fred Dailey.

Staff present: Mr. Jim Rough and Mr. Simeon Frazier.

Mr. White opened the Counselor Professional Standards Committee (CPSC or “the committee”) meeting at 8:15 a.m. and advised the committee that they should try to get through as much as possible with respect to reviewing the proposed changes to the rules.

1. Approval of Agenda:

Dr. Huss added a discussion item regarding an applicant for the Supervising Counselor Designation.

Mrs. Cohen moved to approve the agenda as amended. Dr. Huss seconded. There was no discussion as the agenda was approved unanimously.

2. Supervising Counselor Designation Applicant

Dr. Huss introduced an application for the supervising counselor designation. The applicant is requesting the supervision credential, despite having disciplinary action attached to her license. She acknowledged that, without the disciplinary action, she saw no reason to withhold the credential. She added that the applicant falsified the application by stating that her license was never suspended. The committee agreed that the application would be denied, and it should be referred to the investigation department.

3. Intent to Deny

The committee discussed Monica Van Nort’s application which does not have the proper degree to get licensed as a PC in Ohio. Her degree is a Master’s in Education and Counseling Psychology, and the school is in Kentucky. The materials state that the degree prepares one as a “Psychological Associate.” There is a program for “Mental Health Counseling” at this school, which would be accepted and her degree does not meet the requirements of rule 4757-13-01.

4. **Remediation Plan**

Olena Ferguson failed the PCLE twice and the NCE once. She requested to complete two college courses of remediation, when the board traditionally required three courses to be completed. Her areas of weakness are “Lifestyle & Career Development,” “Professional, Legal and Ethical Responsibilities,” and “Counseling Techniques.” The applicant did not recommend a class to strengthen her understanding of “Counseling Techniques.” The committee agrees to approve her remediation plan after they determine her other areas of weakness. She may then add either an internship class or another appropriate class. They will confirm their belief that her third area of weakness is “research.” If this is true, then she must take a course in research.

5. **Correspondence**

The CPSC discussed their response to the emails received surrounding the OACES conference, with particular respect to whether or not counselor trainee (CT) status should be required. Mr. Rough provided a history of why CT status was created. There was additional conversation regarding whether or not the board has jurisdiction over non-licensees, particularly counselor trainees in their internships, and graduate students that did not apply for CT status. It was determined that the CPSC does not have jurisdiction over students; however, they do have control over the program approval. Additionally, they agreed that it was problematic to require students to register, particularly for a practicum. Dr. Huss advised that the committee must justify the reason for the change if they mandate registration. The committee also discussed “to what extent ... the committee need(s) to go to protect the public.” It was argued that this is done by requiring a Supervising Professional Clinical Counselor (PCC-S) to supervise the diagnosis and treatment of mental and emotional disorders. Also, it was believed that the language should be strengthened in the “Courses Required” section of the program approval form with regard to internships. The belief is the university should be scrutinized if the requirements are not being met.

Dr. Huss stated that the committee should speak towards the content of the internship course beyond the requirement of hours, giving the example of CACREP requiring the intern to meet for 1.5 hours a week with a supervisor. Mrs. Cohen and Dr. Kress confirmed that hour requirements are not currently written in the rules. They also believe that the practicum should be clearly defined with the verbiage “The practicum should include...” Dr. Kress stated that she would be in favor of using CACREP language as much as possible. Mrs. Cohen asked the committee if they were suggesting that “teachers of the internship must have a clinical license.” She then read correspondence stating that some schools have school counselors teaching the practicum and the internship, something she believes shouldn’t be done without a license. Dr. Huss stated that she believes that asking that practicum/internship teachers, simply, be “license eligible” would not ensure that the proper teaching will occur, restating, that the best way the committee can protect the public is through their control of the program review. Mrs. Cohen offered that she believes the internship to be a class based more in experience, and less in content. Dr. Huss stated that she believes that it is appropriate to require a PCC-S for off campus supervision sites, particularly in terms of protecting the public. Dr. Kress

and Mrs. Cohen discussed with Dr. Huss, how the current rules allow for an unlicensed instructor to provide practicum supervision, offering a reminder that the board may not require licensed faculty.

Dr. Kress stated that she believes that it is important that a licensee be accountable if someone is dealing with or potentially harming the public, providing the example of a practicum student having sex with a client. She asked “who is responsible if the board has no jurisdiction over a student, and their practicum supervisor/instructor isn’t licensed?” With that, the board agreed that a placing “a faculty member oversees” back into the rule was wise.

Mrs. Cohen suggested that a statement should be placed in the rule, advising that a PCC-S must be the training supervisor, if a counselor trainee is engaged in the diagnosis and treatment of mental and emotional disorders. Mr. Rough suggested only requiring registration for practica requiring diagnosis and treatment, and the internship.

Mr. White asked for the number of Supervising Professional Counselors (PC-S). Mr. Rough advised that there are 405. Dr. Huss stated that by having a PC-S as an option, using language, “license eligible”, it leaves the door open for a licensee to provide teaching of practicum and internship, and not requiring them to be a PCC-S.

The committee took a break at 10:11

The committee returned from their break at 10:15

Dr. Kress and Mrs. Cohen suggested a short reply, thanking each of the respondents for their responses to the rule review. Post meeting note: Mr. Rough has thanked them as they were received.

Mr. White confirmed with the committee that, until further review, they are comfortable with the work that was done with regards to the practicum and internship.

Dr. Kress suggested addressing 4757-13-01, and “faculty issues.” Went on to state that she agrees that the committee can’t require the faculty to have a license, however, language should be created to address parameters that should be in law, and what is enforceable. Mr. Dailey suggested using terms that are measurable, and thus enforceable. Dr. Huss added that she believes that the committee can control syllabi and what will take place in the class via program approval, but they may not control who teaches it. She suggested that the committee consider whether or not 4757-13-01(A)(3) should be measurable/enforceable vs. “an aspiration” by adding “and/or experience in their assigned areas of teaching,” using Ursuline College as an example, stating that they clearly responded to the committee’s assertions that they were not a counseling program, and made concrete steps to remedy the issue. Mr. Dailey stated that the addition seems ambiguous believing that too many would qualify. Further discussion determined that each program would be reviewed on a case by case basis, on the strength of their argument.

The committee agreed that the term “Professional Identity” should be more clearly defined if it was going to be a program requirement.

With regard to 4757-13-01-4 (iii - iv), Dr. Huss asked if the committee is suggesting that it is fine for the school to provide unlicensed teachers of the practicum and internship, if public protection has been placed on the site supervisor. Mrs. Cohen stated that she believes that the teachers of practica and internships should be licensed. Dr. Kress responded that she doesn’t believe that it should be required if the public is still being served with the added protections. Mrs. Cohen stated that she believes that the added layer of protection is warranted if students aren’t getting enough supervision. Mr. Rough suggested adding that a practicum should be supervised by a PCC-S if they are not under the supervision of a faculty supervisor, particularly if they are engaged in diagnosing and treating.

The committee took a break for lunch at 11:38 am

The committee returned from lunch at 12:32 pm

6. **Questionable Applicants**

A. **Julie Herron**

She is a PCC applicant that answered “yes” to questions 24 and 26 (regarding being a convicted felon). She had a retail theft conviction and a second theft conviction. Her record was expunged in 7/05. She received probation. This occurred in Utah on 11/6/82. Mr. White acknowledges that this was an act of “self-reporting.” Mrs. Cohen stated that self-reporting is a reflection of her character. Mr. Dailey reminded the committee that the incident occurred almost 25 years ago. The committee agreed to approve her application for the PCC license.

B. **Dorothy McNeil**

She answered “yes” to question 24. She was convicted for aggravated trafficking in 1995 and aggravated assault in 1996, both felonies. She spent 18 months in Marysville prison, and was paroled in 2000, completing 1 year of parole.

Dr. Kress asked if there was evidence of any treatment program that she completed. Mr. White confirmed that there was not. Dr. Kress acknowledged that this occurred 10 years ago, but she’d still want to see evidence of treatment. Dr. Huss stated that she is inclined to say “no,” and asked if she’d have a right to a hearing if denied. Mr. Dailey asked for a parole officer recommendation. Mr. White confirmed that there was no such recommendation. Mr. Dailey then asked when she received her degree. Mr. White confirmed that it was awarded in 5/06. The committee discussed the consequences of denying her license due to felony convictions. Dr. Huss asked if the committee has the right to ask for a criminal background check at her expense. Rough advised that they do per rule 4757-1-04. Dr. Kress stated that she’d like to learn more of the nature of the offenses. Dr. Huss recommended getting more information including the following: 1) An explanation/statement from the applicant. 2) Confirmation from the applicant that she is not on drugs, if the original crime had to do with drugs. 3) A criminal background

check at the applicant's expense. 4) 2 personal references; one from a university professor, and the other from an employer of at least 1 year.

C. Lani Griech

She is a PC applicant that did not register her experience, and her supervisor was not a supervising counselor. Dr. Huss stated that she believes that it shouldn't be accepted since it was not registered, arguing that she would've been told, on the front end, that the supervisor was not valid. The Internship Supervisor Evaluation Rating Form was signed by "Peter Ross," the faculty instructor, though a PC was listed as the supervisor. The committee agreed that a letter will be sent stating that the application is still incomplete, as a PC signed the form. They will need a proper supervisor to sign off on the paperwork. Additionally, the committee agreed that the Internship Supervisor Evaluation Rating Form must be reformatted to display that the signature must be by a proper training supervisor.

D. Dennis Reeder

He answered "yes" on question 24. He was convicted of solicitation in 1983 and again in 2000. He was around 33 years old at the time of the first offense. Both offenses were misdemeanors. Dr. Kress advised the committee that she is concerned as a pattern seems to be established. Dr. Huss believes that he should be denied. Mrs. Cohen believes that he should be denied due to a "lack of good moral character"

Dr. Huss Moved for an intent to deny due to a failure to meet the requirement of good moral character. Dr. Kress seconded. Mr. White and Mr. Dailey stated that they agree as the motion passed unanimously.

E. Wanda Pearman

She was licensed as a PC in 1993, and allowed her license to expire in 1999. She became a provisional LPC in North Carolina in 1998. She is requesting to be licensed under endorsement as a PC.

Mr. Rough stated that he believes that she qualifies under 4757-13-04, as her degree was prior to 1997. The committee agreed to grant her a license, stating if she wants a PCC, she will need to complete additional coursework and experience.

F. Nicholas Dunlap

He was convicted of bank fraud in 1999. The offense was the result of him using drugs. He provided a letter, per the committee's request, showing that he completed inpatient treatment. He was 19 at the time of the offense. The committee acknowledged that he would be supervised as a PC. Additionally, they agreed as a whole (particularly Dr. Kress and Mrs. Cohen) that drug crimes tend to be less dangerous, long term, than sexual crimes. After the file was more thoroughly reviewed, and after the requested letter was received, his application was approved.

G. Claudia Hempill

She was convicted of theft in 1999 when she was 20 years old. The committee received the additional information that they requested. She submitted an email and a letter from a counselor (her boss), along with a letter from her probation officer. After her file was

reviewed more thoroughly, and after the requested information was received, her PC licensure application was approved.

H. Julianne Kapper

She answered “yes” on question 27 with regards to being addicted to alcohol. She completed a rehabilitation program. She is a 5/06 graduate of the University of Akron. At the request of the CPSP, she submitted a letter from her rehabilitation center, the committee acknowledged that she self reported, and sent information to substantiate her claims. Her treatment and continued recovery, after 2 years of abstinence, shows a prognosis for continued recovery as positive. She was approved for the PC license.

I. Freddy Robles

He submitted an internal (university) Supervision and Evaluation form, instead of the Board’s “Internship Supervisor Evaluation Rating Form.” He also submitted a form from the municipal court after being convicted of “Physical control of a controlled substance” in 4/06, a misdemeanor. He is off of probation as of 10/06. There is not a lot of information on his crime, and there is no indication that he received treatment for his crime. Mrs. Cohen stated that she would like to see the proper paperwork (Internship Supervisor Evaluation Rating Form) submitted, and additional information regarding his crime and any treatment that he received, and a drug & alcohol assessment. It should be noted that providing the additional information is not an automatic indicator that he will be licensed).

7. Supervising Counselor Designation

Pat Friel

Per Mr. William Hegarty, She was not officially disciplined. In 3/03, she had a consent agreement, leading to a suspended license. Her suspension was lifted in 7/05. She self reported as a PCC. Mrs. Cohen stated that she will recuse herself from the vote, as she knows the applicant through supervisor trainings. She offered that for 8 consecutive months, one day per month, She attended Cohen’s continuing education class in supervision, and during that time, she acted consistently, with no erratic behavior. Dr. Huss stated that she seems to be “self aware,” and she self reported, which speaks to her character. The file is flagged in the database. The matter was reviewed and the board found her application acceptable, providing her Continuing Education units (CEUs) are approved.

8. Intent to Deny

Monica Van Nort

Dr. Kress moved to deny Monica van Nort’s application for PC licensure on the grounds of not having a degree in counseling. Dr. Huss seconded. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

The committee took a break at 2pm.

The committee returned from the break at 2:22 pm.

9. **AAG Casey consultation**

Mr. Casey confirmed with the committee that they have the legislative authority to write rules regarding “Training of people training towards PC and PCC licensure”, for, both Counselor Trainees (CT) and Clinical Residents (CR).

10. **Rule Review**

The committee discussed that the rules will be filed with JACAR in June and there will be public hearing in July.

- A. **4757-3-01 (T):** A request to allow students who have completed internship, but still need additional classes to graduate, to register as CTs was discussed. Concerns were expressed, primarily by Dr. Kress and Mrs. Cohen, that CT status would be granted and schools would have limited contact with students, since supervision is usually off-site. Dr. Huss stated that she is against it because no one, other than the site supervisor, will supervise the CT. Another concern is that it could be used to have the applicant employed by the site, for a year, without having to pay them. Additionally, the four week grace period that is issued was intended to allow the CT to continue to see clients over academic term breaks, ensuring that they may complete their hours. No one is in favor of this.
- B. **4757-5-02-B (7):** The committee believes that this new paragraph should stay in. Mrs. Cohen stated that she believes that it should only stay in with “a really strong informed consent”
- C. **4757-5-02-E(3):**The committee believes that the addition should be kept.
- D. **4757-5-02-F:** The committee voiced concern that this rule doesn’t prohibit a licensee from having a relationship with a client. They will run this (along with 4757-5-04-D) by Mr. Hegarty.
- E. **4757-5-03 (A):** Various concerns were expressed. Mostly, the committee agrees that this rule is acceptable.

William Hegarty entered at 3:25pm, and discussed 4757-5-02, 5-03, and 5-04. He advised the following, for the purposes of ethics under sexual harassment, multiple relationships, and sexual relationships:

The Attorney General’s office due to the “Dennis Bliss” case, created wording to change agencies to differentiate when to disallow “Bliss” type offenses and allow unavoidable, permissible relationships. He went on to say that there is, currently, no standard, with certain behaviors, regarding what is unprofessional, but legal. In the meantime, they use the standard of a “reasonable licensee.”

* Hegarty left the meeting at 3:35 pm.

- F. **4757-5-09 (A):** The committee is fine with 7 years.

- G. **4757-5-09 (H):** The committee agrees upon the following addition” Unless the court determines that it is not in the best interest of the child of the non-residential parent to access the child’s records.”
- H. **4757-9-02 (B):** Dr. Huss stated that she wants to leave this out, as it is a “catch all.” She is concerned that the committee is licensing people to be clinicians, rather than administrators. Dr. Kress offered that she believes that most of the administrative CEUs that are appropriate are actually clinical CEUs. Additionally, she thought that if it is a good CEU or Program, it would fit, anyway. Mrs. Cohen stated that she is curious how this is defined. The committee is in agreement that they can remove this.
- I. **4757-9-04:** with regarding clock hours, the committee agrees with Mrs. Cohen’s suggestion that interactive distance learning via teleconferencing with audio visual capabilities, be acceptable for up to 15 total hours Mr. Dailey acknowledges that the committee must keep pace with society, and suggests that more hours be accepted. Mrs. Cohen explained that the rationale is that distance learning is accommodated, but the majority of the hours aren’t completed via distance learning.

* Frazier excused himself from the meeting at 4:27 p.m.

The meeting adjourned at 4:35 p.m.

March 16, 2007

Members Present were: Mrs. Randi Cohen, Dr. Victoria Kress, Dr. Susan Huss and Mr. Fred Dailey.

Staff present: Mr. Jim Rough, Mr. Bill Hegarty, Mrs. Rena Elliott and Mr. Simeon Frazier.

Guests present: John Coventry of the Ohio Counselor Association (OCA)

Mrs. Cohen opened the Counselor Professional Standards Committee (CPSC) meeting at 8:06 a.m.

1. Approval of Agenda:

Mrs. Cohen asked that Nicholas Dunlap be added to the list for PC licensure, and Julie Herron be added to the list for PCC licensure.

Dr. Huss moved that the agenda be accepted as amended. Dr. Kress seconded. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

2. Investigator Report

Dr. Huss moved to close cases 2006: 129, 144, 172, 174, 175, 179, 184, 196 and 2007: 2 and 17 as presented. Dr. Kress seconded. After the question was called, Mr. Dailey asked for an explanation of the “strong caution...” associated with case 2006-172. Dr. Huss explained and Mr. Hegarty supplemented the explanation.

"Mr. Hegarty advised that he must reply to the licensee regarding the "Bartering Issue." He (Hegarty), then, gave a brief history of the issue. The motion passed unanimously.

Dr. Kress confirmed with Hegarty that the committee may not review the individual investigative case files.

3. Executive Director's Report:

Mr. Rough recommended changing the meeting date to one day, monthly, and to make the online renewal process mandatory. Mr. Dailey confirmed that the committee cannot make the online renewal the exclusive method of renewing the license, and an option must be provided. The committee as a whole has no problem with online renewal. Dr. Huss recommended monitoring the renewal process for another year. Rough stated that 67% of the renewals have been done online, and it is costing the board less money. Dr. Kress agreed with Mr. Dailey to possibly charge more to renew if not using the online option, but to still allow the option of mailing a hard copy of a renewal application. The committee agrees to keep the option.

Dr. Huss reiterated that it would be wise to monitor the process for a year instead of making it a requirement, as the board is not financially strapped. She also suggested waiting for the rule review to be over before considering a change in the meeting dates, stating that the schedule will then be less hectic, and a monthly meeting would therefore be less hectic. The committee stated that they are neutral/flexible either way.

Rough requested a volunteer to assist with the consumer brochure, for counselors, as his current brochure is a blend of all 3 licenses. He believes that he should do three separate brochures. The committee agrees, and Dr. Kress volunteered to help.

He also advised that Counselor Trainee (CT) and Clinical Resident (CR) applications are online, and he reminded the committee to read the Governor's policy guidance document in the board mailing.

Mr. Dailey moved to accept the executive director's report as presented. Dr. Kress seconded. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

Mrs. Cohen then welcomed John Coventry of the OCA to the meeting.

4. Approval of Minutes

Dr. Kress thanked Mr. Frazier for the job done when taking the meeting minutes. The committee then made the following amendments to the unofficial minutes:

John Schaffer

On page #4, regarding John Schaffer, the minutes should reflect that there is a deficiency of "supervisors" not "hours."

Dr. Huss moved that the minutes be accepted as amended. Mr. Dailey seconded. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

5. **Approval of Applications for Licensure**

A. PC

Nicholas Dunlap Julianne Kapper, and Claudia Hempill were added to the PC licensure list.

Dr. Kress moved to approve the list of PC applicants. Mr. Dailey seconded. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

A. PCC

Julie Herron was added to the PCC licensure list.

Dr. Kress moved to approve the list of PCC applicants. Dr. Huss seconded. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

6. **Approval of Intent to Deny**

Dr. Huss moved to deny Monica Van-Nort the PC license as she presented the incorrect degree. Dr. Kress seconded. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

7. **Counselor Application Coordinator's Report**

Mrs. Elliott reported that Mr. Frazier sent 529 CT and CR approval letters. Additionally, there were 166 Examination packets mailed. In February, 39 applicants sat for the NCE; 35 passed and 4 failed. One applicant sat for the NCMHCE and passed. Mrs. Elliott then confirmed that Mr. Rough responds to the comments from the correspondence sent to the committee.

Dr. Huss thanked Mrs. Elliott for her hard work. Mrs. Cohen stated that she receives compliments on how quickly the counseling department gets back to callers with various questions.

8. **Old Business**

Bartering Issue

Mrs. Cohen confirmed that bartering was not removed as an option, as closing the door on options makes her feel uneasy. She referred to her note asking if 4757-5-08 (B) says anything allowing for pre-approval of an informed consent agreement for acts like bartering. Dr. Kress stated that she believes that the rules already make allowances for it. The committee stated that they were uncomfortable with the notion of bartering; however, they are unwilling to take it out. Mr. Dailey stated that he does not like it in the rule, as it doesn't seem professional, and it brings questions regarding tax liability. Mrs. Cohen stated that she would feel more comfortable having knowledge of the practice on the front end, as it could then be regulated. Dr. Huss also advised that it has always been a part of the national

professional association's ethical code. She went on to state that it is not an actual problem until a client is exploited.

Mr. Coventry asked if clinicians still barter. He was advised of the last case, from the previous board meeting, along with another bartering proposition that was presented to the committee.

Mr. Dailey stated that he doesn't have a problem with bartering, but not in this capacity.

9. New Business - Web Counseling

Mrs. Cohen stated that she is interested in defining web counseling to help the public. She stated that she isn't sure how the laws read, but she's interested in creating a general statement. She went on to give the example of an Ohio counselor providing counseling to a Nevada client and advising them, via informed consent that the Ohio board would be the one to contact with issues.

Dr. Kress expressed concerns of jurisdiction, and recommended a subcommittee address the issue.

Dr. Huss recommended looking at the law for a precedent.

Mr. Dailey asked what the case would be for a Canadian client.

The committee sees that it is a large issue, and it would be a courageous, monumental task that should be entered into carefully and strategically.

Mr. Jan White entered the meeting at 9:02 am.

Dr. Huss discussed defining "Web Counseling" and how it could possibly change/alter the definition of "Counseling." Mrs. Cohen gave examples of difference of Internet (typing) counseling vs. Webcam counseling.

Mrs. Cohen updated Mr. White regarding the contents of the meeting.

Mr. White re-assumed the duties of the Committee Chair.

10. Correspondence

A. Lea Morre Sweeney

She issued a formal request for a lapsed PCC license to be renewed. Her license lapsed in 2000. The committee's concern is that there is a 2 year window to renew, and 7 years has passed. A precedent would be established by granting this approval. The committee decided, in the spirit of remaining consistent, that they must act as they have in the past. Additionally, the statute section 4757.32 does not allow renewal after two years post licensure expiration. Dr. Kress asked to which standard Sweeney is to be held, the current standard vs. when she received her degree (on or around 1980) and having been a PCC since 1989. It was discussed that as a new applicant, her request was denied. She will need to sit for the exam.

Dr. Huss moved to deny her request to have her PCC license renewed. Mr. Dailey seconded. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously. A letter will be sent advising her how to re-apply.

B. Sandra George

The supervisor for her out of state internship was not a supervising counselor. Dr. Huss moved to deny her request to accept her internship hours. Dr. Kress seconded. Discussion: Mrs. Cohen advised that the supervisor is a PC in Ohio, but works in Kentucky. She believes that she (supervisor) should know the Ohio law and didn't comply with the rules. She maintained her license in Ohio, with the intention to practice in Ohio. The supervisor knew better. The motion passed unanimously.

C. Janice Kauffman Young

She requested that the committee allow her to receive training supervision from a non-PCC. Dr. Kress moved to deny her hardship request to receive training supervision by a non-PCC. Mr. Dailey seconded. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

D. Brent Shaffer

Applicant wanted to know if "talking on the phone counts as direct hours?" The committee decided that face-to-face interaction is a requirement, even via a webcam. Dr. Huss will give Mr. Rough direction that phone contact will not constitute face-to-face hours, so he may respond. Mrs. Cohen confirmed that a sick client that asked for a session to continue over the phone is indirect contact.

E. Stacey Wielgus

She believed that the PCLE was unfair, and that her PC license should be granted. Dr. Kress moved to reject her request to be granted a license. Dr. Huss seconded. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

11. Remediation Plan

Olena Ferguson

Dr. Huss moved to approve her remediation plan when she adds a third class in the area where she has proved to be weak. Mrs. Cohen seconded. Dr. Kress recused herself from the vote. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

The committee took a break at 9:42
The committee returned from break at 10:00

12. Rule Review

A. 4757-9-05 (A)

The title will be changed to "Guidelines for Continuing Education Program Approval."

B. 4757-9-06(C)(2)

This will be accepted the first time the class or presentation is presented (first term/first time).

4757-9-06-C (3) will be eliminated

Attorney Glen Carr entered the meeting at 10:34 am

C. 4757-13-01(A)(3)

The words "and/or" will be added to read: "identify with the counseling profession and who shall have relevant licensure, *and/or* preparation, and/or experience in their assigned areas of teaching."

D. 4757-13-01(A)(4)(c)(iii)

It was asked if the committee's responsibility to protect the public was accomplished by requiring a PC or a PCC licensee as the practicum instructor. The committee will keep it as it currently stands, with the removal of requirement of the supervision credential.

Mr. Rough and Mr. Hegarty confirmed that a CT is not exempt from the law. Mrs. Cohen confirmed that, from the standpoint of protecting the public, it is better to require all practicum and internship students to register for CT status. The committee decided to delete the phrase "With the supervision designation" from this section

E. 4757-13-01(A)(4)(c)(iv)

Committee decided to keep the phrase "and registered as a counselor trainee per 17-01 (F)"

F. 4757-13-01(A)(4)(c)(v)

The committee decided to remove the phrase "and/or triadic" to read "...one hour per week of individual supervision which occurs regularly..."

G. 4757-13-01(A)(4)(c)(ix)

The proposed change has been altered to read: "The report shall be signed by a supervisor and program faculty member who has first hand knowledge of the applicant's performance."

H. 4757-13-01(A)(4)(d)

The phrase "professional counselors with supervision designation" has been replaced with "The internship shall be under the direction of a qualified graduate faculty member who should be a PCC-S."

I.4757-13-01(A)(4)(d)(i)

The phrase "The two hundred forty hours of direct services, which include the diagnosis and treatment of mental and emotional disorders" will be replaced with "The internship"

J.4757-13-01(A)(4) (d) (iii)

The phrases "and/or triadic" and the terms "usually" will be removed. The word "on" (as in "on-site supervisor") will be removed as well. It will now read "...one hour per week of individual supervision, throughout the internship performed by the site supervisor."

K. 4757-13-01(A)(4)(d)(iv)

The word "usually" will be removed, to read "...a regular schedule throughout the internship performed by a program faculty member."

Dr. Huss moves to come back in April to address the rule revision. Dr. Kress seconds. Discussion: Rough advises that he will be out of the office April 12-16, 2007. Dr. Huss suggests starting at 10:00 if depending on how long it takes. Mr. Rough stated that it would be better to arrive the night before if the meeting may be lengthy. They may come down the night before, the board's budget will allow for this. The committee will consider that the next OCA meeting will be on 4/21/07. A meeting date will be determined at a later time.

The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Dailey moved to adjourn the CPSC meeting. Dr. Kress seconded. There was no discussion as the motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 11:58am.

Minutes Approved by Chair Jan White

May 18, 2007
Date