



Counselor, Social Worker & Marriage and Family Therapist Board

50 West Broad Street, Suite 1075
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5919
614-466-0912 & Fax 614-728-7790
<http://cswmft.ohio.gov> & cswmft.info@cswb.state.oh.us

Social Worker Professional Standards Committee (SWPSC) Minutes

Thursday, November 15, 2007

Members Present: Mr. Tim Brady, Dr. Theresa Cluse-Tolar,
Ms. Jennifer Riesbeck-Lee, Ms. Molly Tucker
Staff Present: Mr. Bill Hegarty, Ms. Marcia Holleman, Ms. Tracey Hosom,
Ms. Jennifer Kreinbrink, Ms. Patty Miller, Mr. Jim Rough,
Ms. Tamara Tingle
Guests Present: No guests were present

The SWPSC meeting was called to order by Ms. Tucker at 9:40 a.m.

1. Discussion/Approval of Agenda

Ms. Tucker asked if there were any changes or discussion of the agenda. No changes or discussion were made. Dr. Cluse-Tolar made the motion to approve the agenda. Seconded by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee. Motion carried.

2. Approval of September 20th & 21st, 2007 Minutes

Ms. Tucker asked for the approval of the September 20th and 21st, 2007 minutes. No changes were made. Mr. Brady made the motion to approve the minutes. Seconded by Dr. Cluse-Tolar. Motion carried.

3. Working Meeting

The SWPSC proceeded with a working meeting to Review Pending Applications for Licensure, Files to be Audited, CEU Programs and Providers, and Renewal Issues.

4. Approval of Applications for Licensure

A motion was made by Dr. Cluse-Tolar to approve the following applications for licensure. Seconded by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee. Motion carried.

SWA -	0 Applications Approved
LSW -	8 Applications Approved
LSW (Related Degree) -	19 Applications Approved
LISW -	2 Applications Approved

29 Applicants were Approved for Licensure by the SWPSC at the November 2007 meeting.

211 Applicants were Licensed by Staff prior to the November 2007 meeting.

A motion was made by Dr. Cluse-Tolar in regards to the other applicants. Seconded by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee. Motion carried.

SWA - 3 Applicants Issued Intents to Deny
LSW - 3 Applicants with Related Degrees Issued Intents to Deny Exam Pre-Approval
2 Applicants Issued Intents to Deny
1 Applicant Needs Additional Information

5. **Investigations**

(A) **Closed Cases**

Mr. Brady made a motion that the following cases be closed as the investigative staff had determined no actionable offenses had been found. Dr. Cluse-Tolar seconded the motion. Motion carried.

2007-77	2007-78	2007-113	2007-119	2007-130	2007-145
2006-146	2007-150	2007-154	2007-155	2007-165	2007-166
2007-174	2007-183	2007-186	2007-189	2007-193	

(B) **Executive Session**

Dr. Cluse-Tolar requested that the SWPSC meeting go into Executive Session at 10:37 a.m. to discuss the Impairment Order, the Adoption Request, Goldman Review (Disciplinary), Goldman Reviews (Licensure), Consent Agreements, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearings. Accepted by a Roll Call Vote – Mr. Brady –yes, Dr. Cluse-Tolar - yes, Ms. Riesbeck-Lee - yes, Ms. Tucker - yes.

Ms. Tucker moved that the SWPSC come out of Executive Session at 10:52 a.m. Accepted by a Roll Call Vote – Mr. Brady –yes, Dr. Cluse-Tolar - yes, Ms. Riesbeck-Lee - yes, Ms. Tucker - yes.

(C) **Impairment Order**

Mr. Brady made a motion that case number 2006-151 be required to receive a mental health evaluation. Dr. Cluse-Tolar seconded the motion. Motion carried.

(D) **Adoption Request**

A Licensed Social Worker wrote to the Investigations Unit to request that she be able to adopt a client of her agency who is considered a medically fragile child. The SWPSC discussed this request and noted that the licensee had provided thorough proof of lack of other alternatives. The SWPSC approved this adoption request.

(E) **Goldman Review (Disciplinary)**

Debbie D. Heuser, LSW – On October 1, 2007, the Board issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Ms. Heuser to provide an opportunity to request a hearing on the Board’s proposal to

discipline her because she did not comply with a Board audit for continuing education in violation of Ohio Revised Code 4757.36(A)(1) and Ohio Administrative Code 4757-11-01(C)(21)(b). The Board did not receive a hearing request from Ms. Heuser. Based on underlying facts gleaned during the course of Mr. Hegarty's job duties as Deputy Director to substantiate the aforementioned, Mr. Brady moved to revoke Ms. Heuser's social work license. Seconded by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee. Dr. Cluse-Tolar recused herself. Motion carried.

(F) Goldman Reviews (Licensure)

Michael P. Bernert – On September 24, 2007, the Board issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Mr. Bernert to provide an opportunity to request a hearing on the Board's proposal to deny him a Social Work Assistant registration because, on the date of his application, he did not possess the necessary requirements set forth in Ohio Revised Code 4757.29 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-19-03(B). The Board did not receive a hearing request by Mr. Bernert. Based on underlying facts gleaned during the course of Ms. Kreinbrink's job duties as a Licensure Examiner to substantiate the aforementioned, the SWPSC voted to deny Mr. Bernert his Social Work Assistant application at the November 15, 2007 meeting. A motion was made by Mr. Brady to deny the application for licensure as a Social Work Assistant to Mr. Bernert. Seconded by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee. Motion carried.

Maribeth Ignatowicz – On September 25, 2007, the Board issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Ms. Ignatowicz to provide an opportunity to request a hearing on the Board's proposal to deny her a Social Work license because, on the date of her application, she did not possess a qualifying degree in social work as required by Ohio Revised Code 4757.28(B) and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-19-01(C)(3). The Board did not receive a hearing request by Ms. Ignatowicz. Based on underlying facts gleaned during the course of Ms. Holleman's job duties as a Licensure Examiner to substantiate the aforementioned, the SWPSC voted to deny Ms. Ignatowicz her Social Work license application at the November 15, 2007 meeting. A motion was made by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee to deny the application for licensure as a Social Worker to Ms. Ignatowicz. Seconded by Ms. Tucker. Dr. Cluse-Tolar recused herself. Motion carried.

Rachel Mowery – On September 24, 2007, the Board issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Ms. Mowery to provide an opportunity to request a hearing on the Board's proposal to deny her a Social Work Assistant registration because, on the date of her application, she did not possess the necessary requirements set forth in Ohio Revised Code 4757.29 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-19-03(B). The Board did not receive a hearing request by Ms. Mowery. Based on underlying facts gleaned during the course of Ms. Kreinbrink's job duties as a Licensure Examiner to substantiate the aforementioned, the SWPSC voted to deny Ms. Mowery her Social Work Assistant application at the November 15, 2007 meeting. A motion was made by Mr. Brady to deny the application for licensure as Social Work Assistant to Ms. Mowery. Seconded by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee. Motion carried.

Nathan Shonk – On September 24, 2007, the Board issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Mr. Shonk to provide an opportunity to request a hearing on the Board's proposal to deny him a Social Work Assistant registration because, on the date of his application, he did not possess the necessary requirements set forth in Ohio Revised Code 4757.29 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-19-03(B). The Board did not receive a hearing request by Mr. Shonk. Based on underlying facts gleaned during the course of Ms. Kreinbrink's job duties as a Licensure Examiner to substantiate the aforementioned, the SWPSC voted to deny Mr. Shonk his Social Work Assistant application at the November 15, 2007 meeting. A motion was made by Ms.

Riesbeck-Lee to deny the application for licensure as Social Work Assistant to Mr. Shonk. Seconded by Mr. Brady. Motion carried.

Lori A. Smith – On October 1, 2007, the Board issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Ms. Smith to provide an opportunity to request a hearing on the Board's proposal to deny her a Social Work license because, on the date of her application, she did not possess a qualifying degree in social work as required by Ohio Revised Code 4757.28(B) and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-19-01(C)(2). The Board did not receive a hearing request by Ms. Smith. Based on underlying facts gleaned during the course of Ms. Holleman's job duties as a Licensure Examiner to substantiate the aforementioned, the SWPSC voted to deny Ms. Smith her Social Work license application at the November 15, 2007 meeting. A motion was made by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee to deny the application for licensure as a Social Worker to Ms. Smith. Seconded by Mr. Brady. Dr. Cluse-Tolar recused herself. Motion carried.

Charles Sproul, Jr. – On September 25, 2007, the Board issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Mr. Sproul to provide an opportunity to request a hearing on the Board's proposal to deny him a Social Work license because, on the date of his application, he did not possess a qualifying degree in social work as required by Ohio Revised Code 4757.28(B) and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-19-01(C)(3). The Board did not receive a hearing request by Mr. Sproul. Based on underlying facts gleaned during the course of Ms. Holleman's job duties as a Licensure Examiner to substantiate the aforementioned, the SWPSC voted to deny Mr. Sproul his Social Work license application at the November 15, 2007 meeting. A motion was made by Mr. Brady to deny the application for licensure as a Social Worker to Mr. Sproul. Seconded by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee. Dr. Cluse-Tolar recused herself. Motion carried.

Sharon Subich – On October 1, 2007, the Board issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Ms. Subich to provide an opportunity to request a hearing on the Board's proposal to deny her a Social Work Assistant registration because, on the date of her application, she did not possess the necessary requirements set forth in Ohio Revised Code 4757.29 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-19-03(B). The Board did not receive a hearing request by Ms. Subich. Based on underlying facts gleaned during the course of Ms. Kreinbrink's job duties as a Licensure Examiner to substantiate the aforementioned, the SWPSC voted to deny Ms. Subich her Social Work Assistant application at the November 15, 2007 meeting. A motion was made by Dr. Cluse-Tolar to deny the application for licensure as Social Work Assistant to Ms. Subich. Seconded by Mr. Brady. Ms. Riesbeck-Lee recused herself. Motion carried.

Shanell Whiting - On September 25, 2007, the Board issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Ms. Whiting to provide an opportunity to request a hearing on the Board's proposal to deny her a Social Work license because, on the date of her application, she did not possess a qualifying degree in social work as required by Ohio Revised Code 4757.28(B) and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-19-01(C)(2). The Board did not receive a hearing request by Ms. Whiting. Based on underlying facts gleaned during the course of Ms. Holleman's job duties as a Licensure Examiner to substantiate the aforementioned, the SWPSC voted to deny Ms. Whiting her Social Work license application at the November 15, 2007 meeting. A motion was made by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee to deny the application for licensure as a Social Worker to Ms. Whiting. Seconded by Mr. Brady. Dr. Cluse-Tolar recused herself. Motion carried.

(G) Consent Agreements

Marilyn E. Hallowell, LISW – Ms. Hallowell entered into a Consent Agreement on the basis of the following stipulations, admissions and understandings:

Ms. Hollowell is a licensed independent social worker licensed to practice social work in the State of Ohio, and is subject to the laws and rules of Ohio regulating the practice of social work as outlined in Ohio Revised Code Chapter 4757 and Ohio Administrative Code Chapter 4757. Ms. Hollowell received her independent social work license on November 22, 2006.

On or about October 2006 through approximately February 2007, Ms. Hollowell, while employed at Concord Counseling Services, Westerville, OH allowed an LICDC to provide mental health services, outside of their scope of practice, and bill Medicaid for the services under her supervision. This inappropriate conduct constitutes a violation of Ohio Revised Code Section 4757.36(A)(1) and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-5-01(I)(6) and 4757-11-01(C)(16)

Ms. Hollowell admits the allegations referenced above.

A motion was made by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee to accept the Consent Agreement based on the following terms and conditions. Seconded by Ms. Tucker. Mr. Brady recused himself. Motion carried.

1. Ms. Hollowell's license to practice social work is hereby **Reprimanded**.
2. Ms. Hollowell is required to complete six (6) hours of continuing education in professional social work ethics, in addition to the thirty (30) required for renewal. These 6 hours must be pre-approved by the Board's Deputy Director or his designee. All costs associated with the continuing education are at the expense of Ms. Hollowell. Ms. Hollowell must submit proof of completion of the ethics continuing education to the attention of the Investigation Unit at the Board office. Verification of completion is due in the Board office no later than November 17, 2008.
3. Ms. Hollowell will be on probation for a period of one (1) year, upon employment or at her current place of employment. During the one year probationary period Ms. Hollowell must be supervised in all aspects of her practice of social work and receive face-to-face supervision one hour every two weeks. Ms. Hollowell's supervisor must be pre-approved by the Board. All cost associated with supervision will be at Ms. Hollowell's expense. The request for supervisor approval must be made in writing, include a copy of the supervisor's vita and be submitted no later than two weeks after the signing of this consent agreement or once she returns to social work practice. Supervision should focus on, but not be limited to, ethical decision making and supervision standards. Supervision should be considered training in nature and should not be limited to simply approving and denying case plans. Ms. Hollowell's supervisor must submit quarterly reports to the Board for the one year period detailing topics discussed during supervision sessions, areas of concern, and areas of improvement and make a recommendation with regards to Ms. Hollowell's suitability to practice. However, if Ms. Hollowell's supervisor is concerned with her practice at any time during the probationary period the Board should be notified immediately. It is Ms. Hollowell's responsibility to ensure that the Board receives all supervisory reports.

Robin L. Rivera, LSW – Ms. Rivera entered into a Consent Agreement on the basis of the following stipulations, admissions and understandings:

Ms. Rivera is a licensed social worker licensed to practice social work in the State of Ohio, and is subject to the laws and rules of Ohio regulating the practice of social work as outlined in Ohio

Revised Code Chapter 4757 and Ohio Administrative Code Chapter 4757. Ms. Rivera received her social work license on July 16, 1999.

In the spring of 2007, Ms. Rivera was employed at Project Light, Cleveland, Ohio, as a case manager. While employed there, Ms. Rivera did not complete required client documentation for client files. Not providing sufficient and timely documentation in records to facilitate the delivery of services and to ensure continuity of services provided to clients constitute a violation of Ohio Revised Code Section 4757.36(A)(1) and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-5-01(I)(2).

Ms. Rivera admits the allegations referenced above.

A motion was made by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee to accept the Consent Agreement based on the following terms and conditions. Seconded by Ms. Tucker. Mr. Brady recused himself. Motion carried.

1. Ms. Rivera's license to practice social work is hereby **Reprimanded**.
2. Ms. Rivera must receive face-to face supervision, by an individual pre-approved in writing by the Board, one-hour every week for a period of 2 years. Ms. Rivera should not select a supervisor with which she has a prior relationship. If any previous relationship with the supervisor exists, Ms. Rivera must provide full disclosure of such a relationship in the request for supervisor approval. The 2-year supervision period will not begin until Ms. River's supervisor is approved. Ms. Rivera will have until December 1, 2007, to submit the name, address, and resume/professional vitae of her proposed supervisor. Supervision should focus on, but not limited to, Ms. Rivera's role in professional relationships, confidentiality, ethical decision-making, and proper record keeping. Ms. Rivera's supervisor is required to submit a report to the Board, quarterly, detailing topics discussed during supervision sessions, areas of concern, and areas for improvement. At the end of the 2-year supervised period, Ms. Rivera's supervisor will make a final report encompassing the 2 years of supervision. If Ms. Rivera's supervisor is concerned with her practice at any time the Board should be notified immediately. It is Ms. Rivera's responsibility to ensure that the Board receives the supervisor's reports. All costs associated with the supervision, if any, are at the expense of Ms. Rivera.

(H) Notice of Opportunity for Hearings

Rhonda Feldman, LSW – In April 2007, Ms. Feldman's social work license was audited for compliance with continuing education requirements. In July 2007 and October 2007, Ms. Feldman was requested to comply with the audit requirements but the Board did not receive any communication from her. To date, Ms. Feldman has yet to respond to the Board's April 2007 audit. Not complying with the audit requirement constitutes a violation of Ohio Revised Code Section 4757.36(A)(1) and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-11-01(C)(21)(b).

A motion was made by Dr. Cluse-Tolar to accept the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Ms. Feldman based on these allegations. Seconded by Mr. Brady. Motion carried.

Dianna Wallace, LSW – In April 2007, Ms. Wallace's social work license was audited for compliance with continuing education requirements. In July 2007 and November 2007, Ms. Wallace was requested to comply with the audit requirements but the Board did not receive any communication from her. To date, Ms. Wallace has yet to respond to the Board's April 2007

audit. Not complying with the audit requirement constitutes a violation of Ohio Revised Code Section 4757.36(A)(1) and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-11-01(C)(21)(b).

A motion was made by Dr. Cluse-Tolar to accept the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Ms. Wallace based on these allegations. Seconded by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee. Motion carried.

Darlene Wilson-Harris, LSW – In April 2007, Ms. Wilson-Harris' social work license was audited for compliance with continuing education requirements. In July 2007 and September 2007, Ms. Wilson-Harris was requested to comply with the audit requirements but the Board did not receive any communication from her. To date, Ms. Wilson-Harris has yet to respond to the Board's April 2007 audit. Not complying with the audit requirement constitutes a violation of Ohio Revised Code Section 4757.36(A)(1) and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-11-01(C)(21)(b). Ms. Wilson-Harris' failure to respond to the certified letter dated September 19, 2007, is a violation of Ohio Revised Code Section 4757.36(A)(1) and Ohio Administrative Code Sections 4757-11-01 (C)(17) and (19).

A motion was made by Dr. Cluse-Tolar to accept the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Ms. Wilson-Harris based on these allegations. Seconded by Mr. Brady. Motion carried.

Ira Jean Warmack-Rucker, LSW – On May 31, 2007, Ms. Warmack-Rucker, was ordered to submit to an impairment evaluation, at her expense, through Bridgeway, Inc., Cleveland, OH. Ms. Warmack-Rucker attended the appointment scheduled. Bridgeway, Inc. was unable to complete the assessment based on the dishonesty of Ms. Warmack-Rucker. Ms. Warmack-Rucker denied any previous substance abuse or mental health treatment. Bridgeway, Inc charts indicate treatment at Bridgeway's Unbar program in 2006 and prior treatment at Harbor Light, another treatment facility in Cleveland which is inconsistent with Ms. Warmack-Rucker's statements denying any formal treatment for substance abuse or mental health issues. Failure to submit to a mental or physical examination order constitutes a violation of Ohio Revised Code Section 4757.36(A)(1) and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-11-02(C).

On or about August 20, 2007, through September 12, 2007 while employed by Community Care Network, Cleveland, OH Ms. Warmack-Rucker solicited a private fee or other remuneration for providing services to two (2) clients. This act constitutes a violation of Ohio Revised Code Section 4757.36(A)(1) and Ohio Administrative Code Section 4757-5-01(H)(3).

A motion was made by Dr. Cluse-Tolar to accept the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Ms. Warmack-Rucker based on these allegations. Seconded by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee. Motion carried.

6. Executive Session

Ms. Tucker requested that the SWPSC meeting go into Executive Session at 11:22 a.m. to discuss personnel issues. Accepted by a Roll Call Vote – Mr. Brady –yes, Dr. Cluse-Tolar - yes, Ms. Riesbeck-Lee - yes, Ms. Tucker - yes.

Ms. Riesbeck-Lee moved that the SWPSC come out of Executive Session at 12:08 p.m. Accepted by a Roll Call Vote – Mr. Brady – yes, Dr. Cluse-Tolar - yes, Ms. Riesbeck-Lee - yes, Ms. Tucker - yes.

7. Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB)

Mr. Rough reported on the ASWB Delegate Assembly that he attended from November 9-11, 2007. He discussed ASWB's online survey, which was a compilation of information from thirty plus Boards and the outcomes of that survey. He mentioned exam issues and the "Common Concerns" form that he has emailed to colleges/universities and office staff. Mr. Rough discussed ASWB's \$75 online practice exam and its benefits. In addition, he discussed English as a Second Language (ESL) and American Sign Language (ASL) issues. He said that the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) sent a representative to discuss why social work students are not prepared to practice. CSWE may add some clinical content specifications in the accreditation standards in future changes. Mr. Rough discussed the differences between the Bachelors and Clinical exam. He said that four states do not give examination pre-approval. He briefly mentioned about the Wingspread Conference and how they are attempting to advance the profession. Mr. Rough discussed e-therapy and that he was not elected Treasurer.

Ms. Tucker also attended the Delegate Assembly and thanked the Board for approving her to attend. She said that she had a good time. Ms. Tucker discussed things that she learned more about at the Assembly, such as e-therapy, administrative social work, and how some states require re-testing at each renewal period. She said that it was nice to know that other states have many of the same issues as Ohio.

8. Executive Session

Ms. Tucker requested that the SWPSC meeting go into Executive Session at 2:38 p.m. to discuss personnel issues. Accepted by a Roll Call Vote – Mr. Brady –yes, Dr. Cluse-Tolar - yes, Ms. Riesbeck-Lee - yes, Ms. Tucker - yes.

Ms. Riesbeck-Lee moved that the SWPSC come out of Executive Session at 3:08 p.m. Accepted by a Roll Call Vote – Mr. Brady – yes, Dr. Cluse-Tolar - yes, Ms. Riesbeck-Lee - yes, Ms. Tucker - yes.

9. New Business

The SWPSC discussed the email correspondence about the question regarding utilization reviews.

The SWPSC reviewed the informational packet in regards to the California licensure examination. The SWPSC had to review this information to determine, if this examination is substantially similar to Ohio's examination and would comply with filed rule 4757-19-07 - "Endorsement of a social work license". The SWPSC agreed that California's licensure examination is substantially similar to Ohio's licensure examination.

10. Old Business

Mr. Rough referred the SWPSC to the memo "Administrative Practice – LISW." He discussed his findings for the eight areas that the SWPSC requested the history of in regards to administrative social work. The SWPSC reviewed and discussed this historical information.

11. Adjournment

A motion was made by Mr. Brady to adjourn the meeting at 4:25 p.m. Seconded by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee. Motion carried.

Social Worker Professional Standards Committee (SWPSC) Minutes

Friday, November 16, 2007

Members Present: Mr. Tim Brady, Dr. Theresa Cluse-Tolar, Ms. Jennifer Riesbeck-Lee, Ms. Molly Tucker
Staff Present: Mr. Bill Hegarty, Ms. Marcia Holleman, Ms. Jennifer Kreinbrink, Ms. Patty Miller, Mr. Jim Rough
Guest Present: Mr. Glenn Karr, Attorney-at-Law, Mr. Henry Lustig, National Association of Social Workers (NASW) Liaison

The SWPSC meeting was called to order by Ms. Tucker at 9:15 a.m.

1. National Association of Social Workers (NASW)

Mr. Lustig stated that the NASW Conference was successful and well attended. He mentioned that Governor Strickland's wife was a speaker. In addition, Mr. Lustig said that there is a need to advocate for more community social service agencies to become involved and engaged with the K-12 schools. NASW wants to help create an atmosphere of K-12 schools and community agencies working cohesively. He discussed how many K-12 school social workers are currently in Ohio. Dr. Cluse-Tolar stated that she may be advocating for NASW once her term with the Board ends.

2. Old Business

The SWPSC discussed rule 4757-19-02 "Requirements for licensure as an independent social worker" and the need for clarification in regards to clinical vs. administrative supervision. The SWPSC came up with the following language as the new letter (C)(2)(b): "At least 1500 hours of work by the applicant shall be direct service with individuals, families, and/or treatment groups." In addition, the SWPSC is contemplating having individuals, families, and /or treatment groups in the supervisor's scope of practice. If this statement would be added, then the current "b" and "c" under that section would become "c" and "d." The SWPSC will discuss this language and supervisor criteria in further detail at the January Board meeting.

The SWPSC discussed, in further detail, the Future Statute Changes that were first brought to light at the September Board meeting. They will table this discussion until the January Board meeting, but will come up with ideas for that meeting.

The SWPSC talked about ACE becoming a provider in Ohio. Mr. Rough will review ACE as a potential provider and any issues that it may cause for the SWPSC.

3. Executive Session

Ms. Tucker requested that the SWPSC meeting go into Executive Session at 10:05 a.m. to discuss personnel issues. Accepted by a Roll Call Vote – Mr. Brady –yes, Dr. Cluse-Tolar - yes, Ms. Riesbeck-Lee - yes, Ms. Tucker - yes.

Ms. Riesbeck-Lee moved that the SWPSC come out of Executive Session at 10:18 a.m. Accepted by a Roll Call Vote – Mr. Brady – yes, Dr. Cluse-Tolar - yes, Ms. Riesbeck-Lee - yes, Ms. Tucker - yes.

4. **Adjournment**

A motion was made by Dr. Cluse-Tolar to adjourn the meeting at 11:20 a.m. Seconded by Ms. Riesbeck-Lee. Motion carried.

Ms. Molly Tucker, Chairperson